

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 grins 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/501,043                | 10/14/2004                 | Geir Monsen Vavik    | CU-3831 RJS         | 3141             |
| 26530<br>LADAS & PA       | 7590 09/11/2008<br>RRY LLP | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE |                            |                      | GREGORY, BERNARR E  |                  |
| SUITE 1600<br>CHICAGO, II | .60604                     |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                           |                            |                      | 3662                |                  |
|                           |                            |                      |                     |                  |
|                           |                            |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                           |                            |                      | 09/11/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

| Application No.    | Applicant(s)       |  |  |
|--------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 10/501,043         | VAVIK, GEIR MONSEN |  |  |
| Examiner           | Art Unit           |  |  |
| Bernarr E. Gregory | 3662               |  |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

|          | Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)🛛      | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 May 2008.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)□     | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)       | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposit | ion of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)🛛      | Claim(s) 171-226 is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5)       | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_ Application Papers

....

6) Claim(s) 171-226 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

a) All b) Some \* c) None of:

| 9) In the specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |
|---|
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.                  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85 |

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

| 1. | Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.                                    |
|----|---|
| 2. | Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No                   |
| 3. | Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag |
|    | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).                                     |

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

| Attachment(s)   |                                       |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)        |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date                 |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08)          | Notice of Informal Patent Application |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date                                       | 6) Other:                             |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/501.043 Art Unit: 3662

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 171-226 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

On lines 1-3 of independent claim 171, it is unclear in context if the "signal medium" is claimed as part of the overall claimed "infrastructure system for telecommunication" (line 1). It is noted that the "signal medium" (line 3) is recited immediately after the transitional word "comprising" (line 2), but it is not clear how a "signal medium unable to support predictable connectivity and bandwidth" could be part of an "infrastructure system for telecommunication."

On lines 1-4 of independent claim 171, it is unclear in context if the "given telecommunication platform" (line 4) is claimed as part of the overall "infrastructure system for telecommunication" or is merely mentioned as part of the phrase describing the "signal medium."

On lines 5-6 of independent claim 171, the words "signal conditioning using transponders" are indefinite and unclear in context in that the phrase presents an action rather than a structural element of the claimed "system." The action of "signal conditioning" can not be claimed in an apparatus claim apart from claimed structure to perform the action. Perhaps, "transponders for signal conditioning" is what was meant.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,043

Art Unit: 3662

On line 6 of independent claim 171, the pronoun "that" lacks clear antecedent basis.

On line 8 of independent claim 171, "signal conditioning" is indefinite and unclear in context in that it appears to be the recitation of a function or action apart from structure to perform the function or action.

On line 11 of independent claim 171, the pronoun "that" lacks clear antecedent basis.

On line 11 of independent claim 171, the phrase, "comparable to coaxial and twisted pair systems" is indefinite and unclear in context in that the claim language does not make clear and definite what range of "properties" would be "comparable."

On lines 12-13 of independent claim 171, it is unclear in context if the "D/A and A/D physical layer" is claimed as part of the overall claimed "system."

On line 12 of independent claim 171, it is unclear in context what the subject of the verb "enabling" is.

On line 13 of independent claim 171, the phrase, "to be connected" is indefinite and unclear in context in that it does not claim connection clearly and definitely.

Dependent claims 172-226 are unclear at least in that they depend from unclear independent claim 171. Application/Control Number: 10/501,043

Art Unit: 3662

 Claim 171 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

- 4. Claims 172-226 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
  examiner should be directed to Bernarr E. Gregory whose telephone number is (571)
   272-6972. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 7:30 AM to 4:00
   PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas H. Tarcza, can be reached on (571) 272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should Application/Control Number: 10/501,043 Page 5

Art Unit: 3662

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Bernarr E. Gregory/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3662